In The Matter Of:

East Chicago Waterway Management District vs.

Board of Directors' Meeting May 21, 2014

Iseminger & Associates, Inc.
Professional Court Reporters

14 Indiana Ave., Suite A - Valparaiso, IN 46383

Toll Free: 877.Dep.Rep9

E-Mail: in_courtreporters@yahoo.com

Original File EAST CHICACO MAY 21-2014.txt
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building 4444 Railroad Ave. East Chicago, Indiana May 21, 2014 Wednesday, 5:00 P.M. Transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, on the 21st day of May, 2014, at 5:00 p.m., at the City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, before Dawn M. Iseminger, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public for the County of Porter, State of Indiana.

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	MR. JOHN FEKETE, President
4	MR. RAY LOPEZ, Secretary
5	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Board Member
6	MR. JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member
7	MR. MIKE EBERT, Board Member
8	MR. EARNEST JONES, Board Member
9	MR. RICH GOMEZ, Board Member
10	MR. FERNANDO TREVINO, FMT Consulting
11	MS. ELLEN GREGORY, East Chicago Waterway Board of
12	Directors' Attorney
13	
14	Also Present:
15	TIM ANDERSON, CliftonLarsonAllen
16	JIM WESCOTT, Tetra Tech
17	DAVID ALONZO, B.M.O. Harris
18	NATALIE MILLS, USACE
19	SCOTT STEIN and DEBORAH MILNE, First Merchants
20	Bank
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MR. FEKETE: I'd like to call to order the
2	East Chicago Waterway Management board of
3	directors' meeting. The date is May 21, 2014, and
4	the time is exactly 5:00 p.m. The first order of
5	business is the call to order and the roll call.
6	Mike Ebert?
7	MR. EBERT: Here.
8	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?
9	MR. BAKOTA: Here.
10	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Here.
12	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
13	MR. LOPEZ: Here,
14	MR. FEKETE: Earnest Jones?
15	MR. JONES: Here.
16	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, here. We have a
17	full compliment of six and a quorum. Consulting
18	Engineering report.
19	MR. TREVINO: Yes. Fernando Trevino with FMT
20	Consulting, executive director. I'd like to start
21	off by going through the contents of your board
22	packet. You have the April 26, 2014, board
23	meeting notes for your approval consideration.
24	You have CliftonLarsonen Allen financial
25	statements dated April 30, 2014. Today's claim
11	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

docket dated May 21, 2014, is on the agenda for approval consideration. You have a document entitled, Consent for access to property, and that is for the non CDF parcels. That is on your agenda also for approval consideration. You have in your packet amendments to the bylaws, and the resolution that kick starts the process, and then attached to the resolution to amend the bylaws is a red line of what the proposed amendment is. You have in your packet a memorandum of understanding between Michigan State University and East Chicago Waterway Management District regarding the Jeorse Park study. You have in your packet an e-mail dated May 20th, 2014, regarding the Jeorse Park modeling study. You have it your board packet deposit memos, user fee deposit memos, to Citizen's Bank, which should be First National Bank. Is that right? Is that right?

MS. MILNE: First Merchants.

MR. TREVINO: First Merchants Bank. Thank you. Those deposit memos are April 1st, April 4th, 14th, 21st, and 25th. You have in your packet an e-mail to Matt Light of the Indiana Attorney General's office forwarding copies of letters to individuals who have not paid their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

user fees as of May 15, 2014. You have the B.M.O. Harris Trust report dated May 19th, 2014. You have in your packet the B.M.O. Harris brokerage account statement for the month of April, 2014, account ending in 534. You have a B.M.O. notice dated April 18, 2014, regarding a certificate of deposit maturing. You have First Merchants Bank financial -- the bank statements for the month of April, 2014, for account ending in 608 and account ending in 616. You have Peoples Bank statement for the first quarter 2014 for account ending in 46 and account ending in 47. You have a "Northwest Indiana Times" article titled, "Feds tout Grand Calumet's environmental progress, economic potential, dated May 9, 2014. ARCADIS progress report for the month of February, 2014, and that report is dated April 20th, 2014. have a Northwest Indiana article titled, Great Lakes recreation route aims to boost terrorism -tourisms. Going too fast here. "Tourism," and that article is dated May 13th. Tetra Tech report dated May 12, 2014, with the accompanying invoice and progress report: You have an invitation from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for Colonel Christopher Drew. You have an Army Corps of

Engineer memo dated May 12, 2014. You have the Army Corps of Engineer report to the board today dated May 21, 2014. And last but not least, you have Attorney Ellen Gregory's report to the board dated May 21, 2014.

I'd like to report on a couple items. I mentioned that the MOU at Michigan State
University is in your packet for approval
consideration. I've been working with Michigan
State reps and Attorney Ellen Gregory to draft
the MOU that's currently in your packet for
approval consideration. The MOU does reference
key provisions that are in the resolutions that
have been approved by the board.

I mentioned there's a bylaw amendment on the approval consideration. We have had discussion on that in the past, and the spirit of the amendment is giving the board some leeway on absentee board members who don't participate.

There's also an AOC consent for access in your packet regarding the Great Lakes Legacy Act Project. The RIFS statement of work has been finalized. Tetra Tech has been working on access clearances, bathymetry scope of work,

and also I've been attending Roxanna Marsh area development project team meetings. Jim Wescott of Tetra Tech is here today to present more details on the project.

I mentioned, I think at the last meeting, that I was looking into iPad purchases for the board, and I've been in contact with Verizon regarding a proposal. My plan is to have a proposal by the next meeting, which will include a presentation to the board from a Verizon rep.

Regarding the 2014 user fees. Past due notices were sent to eight companies that have an outstanding balance. Per the letter, any unpaid balance as of mid June will be referred to the Indiana Attorney General's office to pursue payment. Matt Light from the Attorney General's office has been sent a copy of the letter; and I mentioned that e-mail forwarding those letters is in your packet.

There's also been progress on the consent decree PCA with the Army Corps and real estate efforts, which I'll let Attorney Ellen elaborate on in her report.

Also on the agenda is a change in

officers, and we'll -- we can cover that in more detail during that time.

And some of the regular meetings that I've been attending on behalf of the district include a BP biweekly meetings, the Great Lakes Legacy Act project meetings, the Roxanna Marsh area development meetings with EPA and City and other stakeholders, the dredging project meetings, and the canal technical review committee.

And last but not least there was a -- as some of you may be aware, there was a crane accident a couple weeks ago that hit overhead NIPSCO power lines. I have asked Natalie Mills of the Corps to include in her written and verbal report to the board today future preventative measures that are being taken to avoid future occurrences, and she has provided the write up in her report. So that concludes my report.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Mr. Trevino?

(No response.)

MR: FEKETE: Hearing none. Thank you very much. The accounting consulting report,
Mr. Anderson.

MR. ANDERSON: Tim Anderson from CliftonLarsonAllen. Everybody should have a copy of the financial in their packet, and hopefully that last page is readable this time. It will be a lot easier. Page 2 of that report shows the receipts and disbursements for the Waterway District for the four months ending April 30th; cash receipts for 535,000; disbursements of 137,000. So our cash has increased in those two operating accounts 397,000. So our cash balance at the end of April is \$2,083,967.

Page 3 shows each month and the interest that's earned, the checks that are written, and the ending balances. So our operating cash balance -- that's the account we write all of our checks out of -- is 1,047,000. And then the user fee accounts, the account which just accumulates the user fees as they come in, is 1,035,000.

This last page, which is landscaped, just shows you guys how much available budget you have for each line item. The budget appropriation is over on the left, and then you can see each month the checks that are written. And as of today, with the checks you're writing

1	today or the checks you're signing for today,
2	after that cycle, the available budget is all
3	the way to the right. So at the end of today,
4	we still have a million dollars in our budget,
5	and it is broken down by those long items. Any
6	questions?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. Thank you.
9	MR. EBERT: Mr. Chairman, you want to skip
10	back to item three, the meeting notes?
11	MR. FEKETE: I was going to backup to that.
12	I realized that. I'd like to entertain a motion
13	to approve the minutes for the April 16, 2014,
14	board meeting.
15	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to accept.
16	MR. FEKETE: Do we have a second?
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
18	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by saying
19	aye.
20	(All signify aye.)
21	MR. FEKETE: Any opposition?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. FEKETE: Hearing none; approved.
24	Next order of business is the approval of the
25	accounts payable voucher.

1	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to approve.
2	MR. EBERT: Second.
3	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and a second to
4	approve the accounts payable voucher dated May
5	21st, 2014. We'll have a roll call vote.
6	Mr. Ebert?
7	MR. EBERT: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Bakota?
9	MR. BAKOTA: Yes
10	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Rodriguez?
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Lopez?
13	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Jones?
15	MR. JONES: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: And I vote yes Approval to pay
17	the voucher has been made.
18	The next order of business is the approval
19	consideration consent for access to property
20	with EPA, non CDF parcels. We'll have a roll
21	call vote on this as well since it's a signed
22	document. I'll entertain a motion.
23	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept
24	MR. BAKOTA: Second.
25	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion to accept and a

1	second. We'll have a roll call on this.
2	Mr. Ebert?
3	MR. EBERT: Yes.
4	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Bakota?
5	MR. BAKOTA: Yes
6	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Rodriguez?
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Lopez?
9	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Jones?
11	MR. JONES: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Fekete, yes. Okay. We have
13	approved the access to property with U.S. EPA, and
14	I will sign the document when I get a chance to.
15	The next order is the approval
16	consideration to amend the East Chicago
17	Waterway Management bylaws, and basically this
18	will be a two-step process. We brought it up
19	in discussion briefly at our last meeting but
20	did not take any action on it. I think it is
21	pretty self-explanatory and we've discussed it.
22	Do I hear a motion to approve the first
23	reading?
24	MR. EBERT: So moved.
25	MR. FEKETE: I have a motion to approve the

1	first reading. Do I have a second?
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
3	MR. FEKETE: Roll call vote. Mr. Ebert?
4	MR. EBERT: Yes.
5	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Bakota?
6	MR. BAKOTA: Yes
7	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Rodriguez?
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
9	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Lopez?
10	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
11	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Jones?
12	MR. JONES: Yes
13	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Fekete. Any oppositions?
14	Obviously, none
15	We have an approval consideration for the
16	MOU with Michigan State University, and before
17	I entertain a motion on this, I think this will
18	be a good time to interject the fact that
19	Mr. Lopez and I attended a meeting on the
20	Jeorse Park modeling and what's being proposed
21	on April 22nd at the IDEM office in Valparaiso.
22	It was a very good meeting, but we made it very
23	clear and Mr. Lopez, to his credit, was
24	probably the most eloquent in his presentation
25	basically saying, Citizens of East Chicago want

1	to protect as much of Jeorse Park as it can for
2	access by its residents. Because one of the
3	proposals basically showed it as being a
4	habitat area. And he says, "No. That's not
5	what we're after." So thank you, Mr. Lopez,
6	for your attendance and your presentation.
7	So the approval consideration, I would
8	entertain a motion to approve this MOU.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Motion to approve.
10	MR. FEKETE: Do we have a second?
11	MR. EBERT: Second.
12	MR. FEKETE: And we have a motion and a
13	second. Mr. Ebert?
14	MR. EBERT: Yes
15	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Bakota?
16	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
17	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Rodriguez?
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
19	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Lopez?
20	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
21	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Jones?
22	MR. JONES: Yes.
23	MR. FEKETE: And I vote yes. Motion to
24	accept the MOU with the Michigan State has been
25	approved.

We have been informed that Mr. Ebert is going to resign his position as treasurer. Is that effective June 1st?

MR. EBERT: Correct. Brief statement: I think all of you are aware that my professional responsibilities have changed, and it is going to limit the time I have available and would interfere with the efficient financial obligations of the Waterway Management District. So at this time, I'm resigning my position as treasurer effective June 1st. I do plan to stay on as a board member and participate as available.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much for your contributions as treasurer and I look forward to your continued contribution as it sees fit.

At the same time, Mr. Lopez had indicated that he was going to resign as secretary effective June 1st, and the reason for this, quite frankly, is that Mr. Lopez has agreed to accept the position of treasurer and financial officer. So we are accepting his resignation as secretary, and we basically need to elect him in position of treasurer and financial officer.

So we will have a roll call vote for that

1	election. Mr. Ebert?
2	MR. EBERT: Yes
3	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Bakota?
4	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
5	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Rodriguez?
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
7	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Lopez?
8	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
9	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Jones?
10	MR. JONES: Yes
11	MR. FEKETE: And I vote yes:
12	Congratulations:
13	MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.
14	MR. FEKETE: Now that we have a vacancy for
1 5	secretary, Mr. Rodriguez has agreed to stand for
16	election in that position, and since there is no
17	opposition, we will again have a roll call vote
18	accepting Mr. Rodriguez for secretary. Mr. Ebert?
19	MR. EBERT: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Bakota?
21	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
22	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Rodriguez?
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
24	MR. FEKETE: Mr. Lopez?
25	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
104	

1 MR. FEKETE: Mr. Jones? 2 MR. JONES: Yes. MR. FEKETE: And I vote yes. Congratulations 3 4 to our new secretary. That completes our 5 reorganization of officers. The next order of business is the B.M.O. 6 7 Harris Trust report. Mr. Alonzo. MR. ALONZO: Good afternoon. David Alonzo 8 with B.M.O. Harris. I'll go through our normal 9 10 trust report here. So really taking a look at the 11 Page 1, that's just our chart showing the 12 historical value since 1997, and then, of course, 13 distributions from that time up until now. 14 Page 2 shows the value as of May 19 \$5,958,227. From our last meeting, that's a 15 16 positive gain of 8,373 from our last meeting. The distributions year to date were 234,110, 17 which were for the budget. And then since '97, 18 19 we've taken distributions of 18,492,000. 20 Going into the third page, really the only 21 major difference here I highlighted in yellow... 22 We had the one certificate come up. We ended 23 up reinvesting that, as you can see with the interest rate. And then based on the 24 investment policies, we're restricted on doing 25

1	a few things, but we did bring the prime money
2	market balance back up to where we typically
3	like to see it at, and we always try to
4	maintain that balance. So really, at this
5	time, we had some interest credit in, and
6	really nothing this year that's coming up, but
7	we do have that flex account that is available
8	if funds ever need to be transitioned into that
9	money market.
10	Any questions at this time? As things
11	come up, we can continue to take a look at the
12	alternatives that are available to us to
13	invest. But right now we're good with the CD's
14	and increasing some of those rates, too. Any
15	questions?
16	MR. EBERT: Just a comment. The reinvestment
17	of the maturing CD and the transfer of some of the
18	proceeds into the prime money market account was
19	approved at a finance committee meeting.
20	MR. ALONZO: Okay. Any questions?
21	(No response)
22	MR: FEKETE: The next order of business is
23	First Merchants Bank report.
24	MR. STEIN: Good afternoon. Thank you for
25	inviting us. This is Deb Milne.

MR. FEKETE: Do you have cards?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STEIN: We have a longstanding relationship with East Chicago. You know us as Citizen's Financial Bank. In November of 2013 we merged with First Merchants Bank, and we changed our name to First Merchants. We were about 1.1 billion dollars in Citizen's, and now we're about 5 and a half billion dollars. headquarters are in Muncie, Indiana, but we have a lot of local autonomy. Our region is now called "The Lake Shore region." We have the same leadership in our region; the same faces that you'll deal with. Our sales team has not changed at all, so we're just a stronger bank. Our balance sheet is much stronger now that we are First Merchants, and our local representation is But we would entertain -- it is my the same. understanding that maybe there were some questions in previous meetings that we would be very happy I run our commercial team, and Deborah is our cash management specialist.

MR. TREVINO: I think one quick question is just, is there any difference in the operations as far as we're concerned? Are the account numbers the same? Contact folks, are they the same?

1	MR. STEIN: Uh-huh.
2	MR. TREVINO: Are they the same; has there
3	been any operational changes that we should know
4	of?
5	MS. MILNE: No, there's not. The two
6	municipal checking accounts that you have with us
7	were grandfathered over, so as far as how they're
8	structured, that carried. So there are no
9	changes.
10	The contact people are still the same
11	Primarily myself, John Frak. The relationship
12	manager was unable to be here today, so Scott
13	gladly stepped in to come with me this evening.
14	So there's no change that way.
15	MR. TREVINO: Okay. And then I guess the
16	other thing is, you know, I would recommend, you
17	know, that maybe if you could at least quarterly
18	come to our meetings and just give any updates to
19	accounts, or, you know, just to kind of
20	MS. MILNE: Sure.
21	MR. TREVINO: be available for questions
22	MR. STEIN: We appreciate the relationship.
23	MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much for showing
24	up.
25	MR. STEIN: You bet.

1	MS. MILNE: You bet.
2	MR. FEKETE: The question, as Fernando
3	explained to you, with the change of name, we
4	wanted to make sure we at least had a little face
5	time
6	MR. STEIN: That's always a concern that we
7	want to squelch.
8	MR FEKETE: Okay. Very good.
9	MR. LOPEZ: Our main concern that we pushed
10	to him is that as long as we've had this account
11	for all these years, we've never heard anything.
12	All we get is the paper reports. And you see
13	here, we have everybody here. They're present and
14	they let us know
15	MR. STEIN: Yes
16	MR. LOPEZ: even if it's once in a year.
17	MR. STEIN: Absolutely, so according to
18	Fernando, we will we would like to say we will
19	come out at least quarterly to give you an update.
20	MR. LOPEZ: All right. Thank you.
21	MR. STEIN: Thank you.
22	MS. MILNE: Very good. Thank you.
23	MR. TREVINO: Nice to meet you.
24	MR. STEIN: Nice to meet you, too
25	MR. FEKETE: The next order of business is

the Great Lakes Legacy Act update.

24

25

MR. WESCOTT: Jim Wescott with Tetra Tech. As Fernando mentioned, we submitted the final work plan at the end of April, so now there is a clear understanding for all the stakeholders about what samples we need to collect. I've been working with Fernando. As we talked about in the last meeting, EPA was going to do all the fieldwork, and they still are going to do probably most of the fieldwork, but there's a potential that we'll take on some of the early fieldwork just to keep us going without -- with keeping the same overall budget the same. I need to confirm this with Fernando tomorrow, but the expectation would be that we'll have somebody in the field probably by the end of June, probably not before the next meeting but shortly thereafter. Then all the fieldwork, at least the in-water fieldwork, I would think will be completed by the end of Then we'll do the upland -- do some September. sampling in the upland areas, like the marsh and some of the other areas that we would use for support facilities if we ever went to construction that will do -- we would want to wait until October because we want sort of the vegetation to

25

die and some of the ground to sort of freeze up and the water levels to drop before we do that. So everything is still moving ahead.

MR. BAKOTA: Just a question, is there any way to tell how much sediment is delivered through that area beyond the river itself?

MR. WESCOTT: One of the things that we will actually do is to try to model the sediment level that's coming in from the east and west branch, and then also possibly look at if there's any -because the lake level bounces up and down, you potentially get flow backwards from the lake, and there's an interest in potentially building sort of a deeper hole in the Indiana Harbor Canal to kind of catch sediment coming one way or the But we will -- the expectation is that we'll model the sediment loading in the river. And then one of the other things we're going to do is look at all the storm sewers and all the other potential sources of contamination coming into the Sort of investigate those as part of this whole effort.

MR. BAKOTA: Good.

MR. WESCOTT: Any other questions for me?

MR. FEKETE: Any other questions?

(No response.)

MR. WESCOTT: You should start seeing people out on the water probably in June. We'll talk more about that next month.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you, Jim. U.S. Army Corps' report. Miss Mills.

MS. MILLS: Good evening. Natalie Mills with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The CDF construction, facility and dredging operations plan: The contractor is dredging. It did have some equipment failure last week which precluded them from dredging, but they resumed dredging as of yesterday. The main entrance ramp, that work hasn't been completed yet. They still have to do some concrete work which is supposed to be done sometime this spring.

As Fernando indicated, there was an incident with the crane on the 22nd of April, 2014; whereas, the contractor's boom came into contact with NIPSCO's power line. There was a loss of power to approximately 594 residents, as reported by NIPSCO, but the power was restored within 24 hours, all the power, except to the CDF, which was restored later that same day later in the evening. According to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contractor, there was just a breakdown in communication with activities for that day. The contractor was headed out to the dredge location. They hadn't even started dredging yet, so they were heading out for the day to go to their first dredge location, which is when the incident occurred. So obviously they didn't do any dredging for -- until the 5th of May is when they actually did start the actual There was some work that had to be dredging. done on the crane, as well as some reviews of their activity hazard analyses, and just, like, some policy changes. So I've made a note of some things that came from the contractor. So they looked at a two-pronged approach, which was some external policy changes. So they're in conversation with NIPSCO. They would like to put some visual markers on the overhead power lines for identification. And they were in contact with INDOT which has approved the request to place the signage of overhead wires along the bridge pier. So they did approve that, so Kokosing is in the process of having those signs manufactured.

As far as internal policies: The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contractors had to go over their activity hazard analysis again, which is required from the contract. So what it is, is you identify what the hazard is and say -- or the activity is, rather -- say, "crossing power line," then you would identify what are the potential hazards, and then what kind of activities do you do to prevent that activity from having an incident. So they did revisit those hazard analyses, and some of the new items -- so they provided the crew with, like, cards to identify what the clearances are under the power lines. The captain of the tug is required to confirm the heights of equipment in the area, and the captain, as well as the barge foreman, they also communicate those heights to one another before the barges are being moved from the They're going to lower the booms around five degrees, so another preventative measure. They're going to mark the spuds to make identification easier. Signs, which I mentioned again about overhead obstructions, and then re-training. So all of these things, the training and the activities, they occur prior to the re-initiation of dredging that

1 occurred on the 16th of May. Are there any questions directly related 2 to that incident? 3 MR. JONES: Did the contractor get penalized 4 by NIPSCO for the accident? 5 MS. MILLS: Not that I'm aware of, but there 6 was a big crew that was out there that day. 7 MR. JONES: That's what I was wondering. 8 MS. MILLS: Not yet. 9 10 MR. JONES: Okay. 11 MS. MILLS: Okay. So moving on to CDF 12 documentation. The TSCA permit was submitted on the 22nd of March. I haven't heard any questions 13 14 yet. Oil boom coordination: 15 There was a technical meeting held on the 9th of May with 16 the Corps, EPA, ECWMD, BP, and ARCADIS to 17 discuss possible options for the oil boom. 18 Right now the Indianapolis Boulevard is not 19 protected by any kind of oil boom system right 20 21 now, and so we were trying to come up with some 22 ideas to look at another system could we install that would include Indianapolis 23 Boulevard from being open. So there was some 24 25 ideas from all the agencies involved, and we're

evaluating the consents, and I presume a follow-up meeting will be held sometime in the near future.

The PCA: We met on -- I'll allow Ellen to discuss PCA:

MS GREGORY: Okay.

MS. MILLS: Moving on to a meeting that we had on the 29th of April with the Corps, and ECWMD, as well as your appraiser, to discuss the site appraisal. Fernando had mentioned during that meeting that you guys were going to talk further with your appraiser and you guys would get back with us, so we're waiting to hear back from you all.

Additionally, we had a meeting on the 7th of May to discuss the cost share, and the Corps was going to go back and look at some of the allowable costs that you had inquired with us, as well as the update to the cost share summary, as well as Katie mentioned that there was, like, a manual that she was going to provide. So I reminded her again that she hadn't provided that so I can share that with you all to identify what kind of costs are included in their project cooperation.

Lastly, I have Indianapolis Boulevard

1 Parkway Improvements. We are still awaiting our stone. We have a lot of contracting 2 actions right now, and that just hasn't made it 3 through contracting division yet, so they 4 haven't been able to place the order, so we 5 6 haven't received that stone. They have not been able to give me a time table on when that 7 will be able to go through. As soon as I hear, 8 I'll let you guys know. That's all that I 9 10 have. 11 MR. FEKETE: Any questions? (No response.) 12 Thank you very much. 13 MR. FEKETE: looking forward to seeing that rock in place. 14 MS. MILLS: Yes, I as well. I've been 15 16 reporting on it every week -- every month: MR. FEKETE: I know. 17 MR. BAKOTA: If it ever happens --18 MS. MILLS: It will happen. 19 MR. FEKETE: Attorney Ellen Gregory report. 20 21 MS. GREGORY: Ellen Gregory with Ellen Gregory Law. Just a few items that haven't been 22 fully covered by either Mr. Trevino or Natalie. 23 The consent decree: Mr. Trevino and I have been 24 25 reviewing the draft that the Department of Justice

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

distributed earlier this year. We are also getting input from Jim Wieser, our local real estate attorney, on the real estate provisions. In the consent decree there are a number of new real estate provisions that weren't in the last draft, so we're getting Jim Wieser's input, and I will be coordinating, putting all the comments for ECWMD together, and we're hoping to get that to the Department of Justice attorney the end of this week or early next week. We also have submitted to BP information on easements and rights-of-way for parcels owned by the Waterway Management District. We're awaiting response from BP. probably set up a meeting with their real estate people and our real estate people to go over the information that's been provided to them.

And then on the PCA revisions, we had submitted a draft to the Army Corps last time -- actually, a couple of -- the last couple of times we've spoken with the Army Corps we've discussed a couple of ideas about PCA revisions. I believe the ball is back in the Army Corps' court to get back to us on some of the thoughts that we'd shared with them at the last meeting. And that's all I have. If

1	anybody has any questions?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. FEKETE: No questions?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. Any new
6	business to come before the board?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. FEKETE: Any other business?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. FEKETE: Any public comment?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. FEKETE: Next board meeting is June 18 at
13	5:00 p.m. at this location. I will entertain a
14	motion of adjournment.
15	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to adjourn.
16	MR. EBERT: Second.
17	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by saying
18	aye.
19	(All signify aye.)
20	MR. FEKETE: Any opposition?
21	(No response.)
22	MR: FEKETE: Hearing none, we are adjourned.
23	MEETING ADJOURNED
24	- * <i>=</i>
25	

CERTIFICATE 1 I, Dawn M. Iseminger, Registered Professional 2 Reporter (RPR), and Notary Public within and for the County of Porter, State of Indiana, do hereby 3 certify that I appeared at The City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad 4 Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, on the 21st day of May, 2014, to report the proceedings had of the 5 District Board of Directors' Meeting. 6 I further certify that I then and there 7 reported in machine shorthand the proceedings given at said time and place, and that the testimony was then reduced to typewriting from my 8 original shorthand notes, and the foregoing 9 transcript consisting of thirty-two (32) pages is a true and accurate record of said proceedings 10 had. 11 Dated this 17th day of June, 2014: 12 13 14 Count M. Demenger 15 16 Dawn M. Iseminger, RPR My Commission expires: 7/22/2017 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25